ENVIRONMENTAL RISK ANALYSIS DUE TO EXPOSURE TO CARBON MONOXIDE (CO) IN THE GORONTALO CITY AREA

Moh. Rivai Nakoe¹, Nur Ayini S. Lalu², Arfiani Rizki Paramata³

 ^{1,2} Departement of Public Health, Universitas Negeri Gorontalo, Indonesia
 ³ Management Aquatic Resources, Universitas Negeri Gorontalo, Indonesia email: <u>rivai@ung.ac.id</u>

Abstract

Air is necessary for the continuity of creature life, especially man. Air cleaning has benefits, but the most important and foremost thing is For the Respiratory man. Motorized vehicles have the biggest influence on worsening air pollution. The primary pollutants _ contained in exhaust gases in vehicles are carbon monoxide (CO) and particulate dust. Research purposes This knowledge of Carbon Monoxide (CO) concentration Analyzes risk ecological (HQ) consequences of CO exposure, analyzing the grand average exposure (intake) and level realtime risk (RQ). Carbon monoxide (CO) exposure is around the Gorontalo City area: Fifth Lake, Front Gorontalo State University campus, and shopping center. Types of research This is an observational study with an approach to Ecological Risk Assessment (ERA) and Health Risk Assessment (HRA) through study field (field studies). Research results show 3 locations are showing Carbon Monoxide (CO) levels have exceeded border brother or standard quality air ambient, with the presentation highest at location three, namely the shopping centers, with results of 39,568 µg /Nm 3. Based on the results, it can be known that CO levels in Gorontalo City are already included in category No safe because 100% of the sample location exceeds the Threshold Limit Value (NAV) based on PP No. 22 of 2021 concerning maintenance Protection and Management Environment for value (CO) is 10,000 µg /Nm 3 (10 mg/m 3). The calculation results in intake CO exposure realtime big risk health For all locations Good of the CO parameters incl in category risky with RQ > 1. People living in the area location taking samples are expected to care more about health and prevention, like getting used to using a mask when working and reducing the habit smoke To minimize exposure to carbon monoxide and TSP.

Keywords: CO; ERA; HRA.

INTRODUCTION

High transportation activity has implications for reducing quality air consequences of the existence of pollutants in the air (1). According to CREA and Greenpeace, 2020 (in Kautsar & Herlinda, 2021), Jakarta is one of the cities with polluted air worst take. Note that the most significant contributors pollution 75% to are transportation land, 8% industry, 9% generator electricity and heating, and 8% burning in Indonesia. PDPI shows that polluted air relates to problems in healthy lungs, like declining function lungs (21- 24%), asthma (1.3%), COPD (prevalence 6.3% in Non-smokers), And 4% from case cancer lungs. Consequently, pollution in Indonesia suffers a loss of Rp 150 trillion (2).

According to BPS City Gorontalo, 2020 (in Ibrahim, 2021), the enhancement in vehicle motorized average from 2016- 2020 was as much as 2% in Gorontalo City, with the enhancement highest happening in 2017-2018, namely 21%. Matter This could cause a decline in the quality of air as a result of perspective. Enhancement here is that It can cause traffic congestion and increase air pollution, resulting in the consequence of activity transportation (3).

Based on a study done by Pamungkas et al. (2017) related to exposure to carbon monoxide (CO) through inhalation in traffickers, who carried out at 19 points, there

10 points (57.89%) (4). Which are concentration of carbon monoxide already exceed standard quality which hinted in Regulation Government R.I Number 41 Year 1999 about control pollution ambient national as significant as 30 mg/m 3 per hour (5). Exposure intake of carbon monoxide (CO) in realtime own mark averages 2.94 mg/kg/day, whereas intake on lifetime own mark averages 4.76 mg/g/day. Good on characteristics risk noncarcinogenic or risk quotient (RQ) realtime nor lifetime, there are five-person respondents (8.6%) no safe or risky from total 58 respondents (6).

Based on the survey beginning which done researcher obtained data on health parking attendants with visual impairment of as much as 0.4%, Sick head of 0.84%, And difficulty breathing as much as 0.2%, so there is a possibility of officer parking in the City of Gorontalo exposed to gas carbon monoxide (CO) produced by vehicle emission.

METHODS

Location And Time Study

Perlimaan Telaga did a location study in front of the Gorontalo State University campus and the Gorontalo City shopping center. Time research was carried out from 25 May until 28 August 2023.

Type Study

This is an observational investigation with *an ecological risk assessment* (ERA) and

health risk assessment (HRA) approach through field studies (*field study*).

Population and Sample

Population in study this includes all parking attendants who work at segment Road City Gorontalo. With a sample of as many as 120 respondents who use the get-through technique *of purposive sampling*, Sample air was taken in as many as 3 locations.

Technique Data collection

Source Data Primary

Primary data in this research is collected through interviews and observation directly by using questionnaires/questionnaires on the concentration of carbon monoxide (CO) in ambient air.

Source Data Secondary

Data secondary which obtained researcher sourced from studies literature,

thesis, articles/journals Which related with study This And from Service Relations City Gorontalo.

Technique Analysis Data

Technique analysis data on study, this uses the method of ecological risk analysis or *ecological risk assessment* (ERA) and health risk assessment (HRA).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Research Results

Characteristics Anthropometrics Respondent

1. Age

Based on results study, which has done, obtained distribution age respondent based on point location on table 1 as following :

NT.	T (*	Age				
No.	Location	n	Min	Max	Mean	
1.	Jl. General Sudirman (Front	4 0	17	49	27.48	
	UNG Campus)					
2.	Jl. Ahmad Wahab (Perlimaan Telaga)	40	14	76	33.68	
3.	Jl. S. Parman (Shopping Center)	40	18	61	37.18	
	Amount	120	-	-	-	

Table 1. Distribution Respondent Based on Age

Source : Data Primary, 2023

From table 1 it is known that of the 3 locations in Gorontalo City roads, the highest *mean* (average) age of respondents is at resident respondents _ on Jl. S. Parman (centre shopping) that is 37.18 year with age The maximum age is 61 years and the

minimum age is 18 years.

2. Type Sex

Based on the results of the study, which has done, the distribution type sex respondents based on point location in Table 2 is as follows:

	Table 2. Distribution Respondent By Gender						
Na	Location						
No.		Man	Woman	Amount			
1.	Jl. General Sudirman (Front	20	20	40			
	UNG Campus)						
2.	Jl. Ahmad Wahab (Perlimaan Telaga)	24	16	40			
3.	Jl. S. Parman (Shopping Center)	22	18	40			
	Total	66	54	120			

Source: Data Primary, 2023

It is known that from 3 locations research, respondents of various types sex man as many as 66 people and women as many as 54 people.

Based on the results of the study, which has to do with getting distribution heavy body respondents based on point location in Table 3 as follows:

3. Body Weight

Table 3. Distribution Respondent Based on Body Weight							
No	Location		Weight				
No.		n	Min	Max	Mean		
1.	Jl. General Sudirman (Front	4 0	40	95	57.47		
	UNG Campus)						
2.	Jl. Ahmad Wahab (Perlimaan Telaga)	40	43	82	60.62		
3.	Jl. S. Parman (Shopping Center)	40	30	85	56.97		
	Amount	120	-	-	-		

Source: Data Primary, 2023

From table 3 can is known that respondents with heavy body The highest is at the location Jl. General Sudirman (Front UNG Campus) which is 95 kg with mark mean (average) 57.47 kg. Whereas heavy body Which Lowest namely 30 kg on location Jl. S.

Parman (Shopping Center) with mark mean (average) 56.97 kg.

Pattern Activity Respondent

1. Duration Exposure

Based on the results study which has done, the distribution duration exposure is as following:

No.	Location	Duration Exposure (year)				
		n	Min	Max	Mean	
1.	Jl. General Sudirman (Front UNG Campus)	40	1	49	8.80	
2.	Jl. Ahmad Wahab (Perlimaan Telaga)	40	1	76	11.53	
3.	Jl. S. Parman (Shopping Center)	40	1	52	19,18	
	Amount	60	-	-	-	

Table 4. Distribution of Respondents' Exposure Duration on City Roads Gorontalo

Source: Data Primary, 2023

From Table 4, it is known that the highest duration of exposure was 76 years in location Jl. Ahmad Wahab (Perlimaan Telaga) with the *mean* value is 11.53.

International Journal of Health Science & Medical

Vol. 3 No. 1 (2024) : February

2. Time Exposure

Based on the results of the study

exposure time (hours/day) of respondents based on location points in Table 5 as follows :

Which has done, obtained distribution

Tabl	Table 5. Distribution of Respondents' Exposure Time on City Roads Gorontalo						
No	Location —		Time Exposure (hours/d				
No.		n	Min	Max	Mean		
1.	Jl. General Sudirman (Front UNG Campus)	40	1	24	10.68		
2.	Jl. Ahmad Wahab (Perlimaan Telaga)	40	1	24	11.05		
3.	Jl. S. Parman (Shopping Center)	40	2	16	11.15		
	Amount	60	-	-	-		

Source: Data Primary, 2023

Table 5 shows that time exposure is most prevalent among respondents at Jl. General Sudirman (Front UNG Campus) and on JL. Ahmad Wahab (Perlimaan Telaga) is for 24 hours/day with a mean (average) of 10.68 hours/day And 11.05 hours/day.

3. Frequency Exposure

Based on the results of the study which has done, obtained distribution frequency exposure (day/year) based on point location in Table 6 as follows :

Table 6	Distribution	Frequency	Exposure	Respondent	In Sec	tion Road	City Gorontalo
I ubic 0	Distribution	requency	Lapobule	Respondent	III Dec	nom nouu	Ony Coronano

No.	Location	Frequency Expos (day/year)			ure	
		n	Min	Max	Mean	
1.	Jl. General Sudirman (Front UNG Campus)	40	52	365	342.70	
2.	Jl. Ahmad Wahab (Perlimaan Telaga)		104	365	329.53	
3.	Jl. S. Parman (Shopping Center)	40	104	365	345.28	
	Amount	60	-	-	-	
C	D (D: 2022					

Source: Data Primary, 2023

Table 6 shows that frequency exposure is highest in every location flat- an average of 3 65 days/year and lowest as many as 52 days/year. Based on the results of research conducted on Gorontalo City roads, in get concentration results in gas CO at 3 location points, as follows:

Carbon Concentration Monoxide (Co) in

the air

Table 7. Distribution Concentration Carbon Monoxide On AirIn Section Road City Gorontalo

No.	Location	Average Concentration (µg/Nm ³)	NAB (10 mg/m ³)
1. Jl. Gener	al Sudirman (Front UNG Campus)	31,491	Not safe
2. Jl. Ahma	d Wahab (Perlimaan Telaga)	17,429	No Safe
3. Jl. S. Par	man (Shopping Center)	39,568	No Safe
Source : De	ata Primary, 2023		

International Journal of Health Science & Medical

Vol. 3 No. 1 (2024) : February

Based on Table 7, the results of measuring CO concentrations in 3 varying measurement locations are known. From the measurement results above, if compared with the threshold value set by Government Regulation Number 22 Year 2021 About Raw Quality Air Ambien Carbon Monoxide is 10,000 µg/Nm 3 or 10 mg/m 3, so that there is all over location have CO concentration exceeds the Threshold Limit Value (NAB) or is unsafe.

Analysis Risk Ecological CO

Ratio exposure is estimated against the concentration of the effect that represents the concentration environment, Which is safe or rejects measuring screening.

Following is a table of values *Hazard Quotient* (HQ) in each location study :

No.	Location	Mark Concentration CO (mg/m ³)	Screening Benchmark (mg/m ³)	^s HQ CO	Criteria
1. Jl. Ger	neral Sudirman (Front UNG Campus)	31,491	10	3.1	Danger Currently
2. Jl. Ahr	nad Wahab (Perlimaan Telaga)	17,429	10	1.7	Danger Currently
3. Jl. S. F	Parman (Shopping Center)	39,568	10	3.9	Danger Currently
Source :	Data Primary, 2023				-

Based on Table 8 is known that there is 3 location is within the danger criteria currently or HQ 1.1-10.

Analysis Risk Health CO

1. Identification Danger

Identification of danger (hazard identification) is the stage beginning with

Analysis of Risk Health For recognizing source risk. Stages This identifies specific dangerous risk agents from the environment and health symptoms. The following table identifies the dangers of CO :

Identification	Description			
Agent risk Specific	Carbon Monoxide (CO)			
Media environment potential	Air Ambien			
Concentration Risk	10000 μ g/Nm 3 (10 mg/m 3) and 230 μ g/Nm 3			
Danger health Which potential	Effect period long shared become effect sub I And effect chronic. Effect period long cause platelets And cell endothelium vessels blood drain free radicals. Symptoms of chronic exposure include: Sick head (90%), nauseous And vomit (50%), vertigo (50%), feel confused and feel weak (Kresnawati, 2018).			

Table 9.	Identification Danger	CO

2. Analysis of dose-response Carbon Monoxide

After identifying the dangers of CO, the next stage is to do an analysis of doseresponse that looks for mark RFC from CO. RFC is the dose of a risk agent that is used as a reference for the safe value for the patient body on non-carcinogenic effects (7).

The RfC value in this study can use the intake formula with values concentration taken based on standard quality according to PP No. 22, the Year 2021, which is 10,000 μ g/Nm 3 (10 mg/m 3) and R-value = 0.83 m 3 /hour. t E = 8 hours/day, f E = 250 days/year, D t = 30 years, Wb = 55 kg, tag = 365 days/year x 30 years, figures- This number is obtained according to the default value which refers to ARKL guidelines by the Ministry of Health, the RfC value is used to determine risk CO exposure as follows :

$RFC = \underline{CXR \ X \ t \ E \ X \ f \ E \ X \ D \ t}$

W b X t avg So, mark RFC For determination risk exposure CO is 0.83 mg/kg/day.

3. Analysis Exposure to Carbon Monoxide

Analysis exposure (*intake*) CO is the amount of concentration *risk agent* accepted And entered into the body average sample per heavy body average samples per day. The *intake* calculations adjust the minimum and maximum intake to the CO concentration measurement results. Calculation *intake* differentiated location For exposure *realtime* or long respondents do activity in area study.

The following is a statistical summary table of the variable values for respondents' activity patterns as exposure factors:

				_	Mark				
No.	Ι	Location		_	Body Weigh (Wb)	Duration Exposure (D _t)	Time Exposure (t _E)	Frequency Exposure (f _E)	Rate Inhalation (R)
1. Jl. C Camp		udirman	(Front	UNG	57	8.8	1 0.7	343	0.83
2. Jl. Ah	mad Wahab	(Perlima	an Telaga	a)	61	11.5	11.0	3 30	0.83
3. Jl. S.	Parman (Sh	opping Co	enter)		57	19.2	1 1.2	345	0.83

Table 10. Individual Characteristics and Respondents Activity

Source: Data Primary, 2023

Carcinogenic intake is calculated in real-time, using the amount of information (input), anthropometric values, and activity patterns used in the study. This is counted with the use of variable heavy body (Wb), duration exposure (Dt), time exposure (t E), frequency exposure (f E) For mark tag CO noncarcinogenic that is using value 30 year x 365 days.

For count *intake* value CO with enter values characteristics anthropometry And pattern activity use equality following :

$$I nk = \frac{CXRXt_EXf_EXD_t}{W_b Xt_avg}$$

The following are the results of calculating the *intake value* for Gorontalo City

roads with three sampling locations.

Table 11. Results Analysis Intakes realtime CO On Respondent inSection Road City Gorontalo Based on Point Location

No.	Location	Intakes realtine (mg/kg/day)
1.	Jl. General Sudirman (Front UNG Campus)	1.337014464
2.	Jl. Ahmad Wahab (Perlimaan Telaga)	0.914484339
3.	Jl. S. Parman (Shopping Center)	3.885967037

Source : Data Primary, 2023

Based on table 3 .1 1, it is known that *the real-time intake value* is the highest there is on location Jl. S. Parman (Shopping Center) with mark 3.8859<u>670</u>37 mg/kg/day and the lowest *real-time intake was at the location* Jl. Ahmad Wahab (Perlimaan Telaga) 0.914484339 mg/kg/day.

4. Characterization CO risk

	Level	risk	F	For o	effect	non
carcino	genic can	state	d in	notatio	on	
Risk	Quotien	ts	(RQ	?) .	For	do
charact	erization	risk	on	effect	non	
Carcino	ogenicity	i	S	calcu	lated	by

comparing/dividing *intake* with *RFC* or *RFD*. Formula Which can used For determine RQ as following:

$$RQ = I RFC$$

If the RQ value ≤ 1 means CO exposure is still safe for health humans, whereas if the RQ value > 1 means CO exposure is unsafe for them health man and needs to be controlled.

The following is a table of risk level values or *risk quotient* (RQ). every point location study :

 Table 12. Realtime Risk Quotient (RQ) Value Analysis Results for Each Point Location in Ruas

 Road City Gorontalo

No.	Location	RQ	Characterization Risk
1.	Jl. General Sudirman (Front UNG Campus)	1.61086080	Risky
2.	Jl. Ahmad Wahab (Perlimaan Telaga)	1.10178836	Risky
3.	Jl. S. Parman (Shopping Center)	4.681887996	Risky

Source: Data Primary, 2023

Based on Table 12, It is known that from 3 locations, own mark $RQ \le 1$, which means No there is a risk to health for resident respondents _ or those who work in areas the

3.2 Discussion

3.2.1 Concentration Carbon Monoxide in Air Ambien

Results measurement Concentration CO which done that the CO concentration in 3 varying measurement locations. From the measurement results above, if compared with the threshold value set by Government Regulation Number 22 Year 2021 About Raw Quality Air Ambien Carbon Monoxide is

10,000 μ g/Nm³ or 10 mg/m³, so that there is all over location have CO concentration exceeds the Threshold Limit Value (NAB) or is unsafe.

His height concentration CO caused by amount vehicle Which crossing the area is busier than usual, the measurement time is carried out on rest hours office from the clock 13.00-14.00 WITA so Lots person Which carry out activities at that location, to shop at shopping centers, and as a place where gatherings often occur, a place to hang out for lunch so there are several vehicles passing through the area like wheeled vehicle two, wheel three And wheel four (8).

Matter This in line with study which done by Hamzah (2020) that the relationship between the number of vehicles and the concentration of carbon monoxide (CO) in The city of Gorontalo shows a positive relationship pattern, meaning it is getting higher the number of vehicles means the higher the concentration of carbon monoxide (CO) (9). Matter This in accordance with study Which in do by Novalia, Sudarno And Bi, 2013 (in Risa, 2019) states that vehicle density is proportional straight with concentration CO. Which It means concentration CO will increase along with increase or increase number amount vehicle (7). According to Hasairin & Siregar, (2018) density then cross And concentration CO is positive correlation, where the higher traffic density then concentration CO will become more so tall (10).

Apart from that, factors that can influence high CO concentrations are temperature, humidity and speed wind (11). Temperature air influential Because in cause exists reaction chemistry some pollutants which will goes on more rapidly at high temperatures while humidity is closely related to deposition various types of pollutants (7). Humidity measurements range between 58.00 m/s up to 66.25 m/s. In line with research conducted by Faradina, 2012 (12). The higher the air humidity so will the more tall also concentration gas carbon monoxide in air.

3.2.2 Characterization Risk Ecological

The research results show CO concentrations in the 3 research locations with an HQ value <1, which means each location has potential risk ecologically acceptable. Average ecological risk from carbon exposure monoxide at any location has HQ value 0.1-1.0 with danger criteria low that is location with mark HQ 0.8, location shops Jln. Suprapto with an HQ value of 0.75, shopping location Jln. S. Parman with HO value 0.85 and the shopping location is Jln. MT Haryono with an HQ value of 0.95, which means risk ecological exposure carbon monoxide on air ambient around location officer parking is located on that level can be in accept (13).

There are 8 research locations with a value of HQ>1, which means that each each location has the potential to pose ecological risks. Average HQ value 1.0-10 with moderate

danger criteria namely the location of the Setia Budi Pharmacy with value HQ 1.2, Double Dipps with an HQ value of 3.2, Jamu Solo shop with an HQ value of 2.2, Main Source location with HQ value of 1.85, City Park complex location with HQ 3 value, location in front of Gorontalo mall with value HQ 3,4, shop location Jln. Imam Bonjol with an HQ value of 1.75 and shops Jln. King Eyato with The HQ value is 1.85, which means that carbon monoxide exposure has the potential to cause risk ecological on air ambient around officer's location parking (14).

Similar research examining ecological risks was carried out by Al-Zboon et al. (2021) show mark danger quotient (HQ) For exposure carbon monoxide (CO) in the 4 research locations ranged from 0.0032-0.036, meaning HQ<1 which indicates that there are no potential significant health effects which in expect from every pollutant in a way individual (2). Matter This in line with research conducted by Mitmark & Jinsart (2020) where concentration carbon monoxide (CO) in Northern Thailand has a value of HQ<1 which means nothere is potential effect health harm from carbon monoxide (CO) (15).

Risk ecological can estimated with use approach *Hazard Quotient* (HQ). If HQ < 0.1, there is no danger. HQ 0.1 - 1.0 danger low. HQ 1.1 - 10, medium danger and if HQ > 10, high danger Lemly, 1996 (in Mallongi et al., 2015). Health *risk* analysis method *assessment* (HRA) is used to provide an estimate of risk health public based on concentration from carbon monoxide (CO). The size mark potency risk ecological is comparison between on-site environmental contaminant concentration (EEC) and effect level concentration no harm (Screeneng benchmarks). Screeneng benchmarks values are in used in this research is based on Government Regulation Number 22 Year 2021 for Carbon Monoxide 10,000 μ g/Nm³ or 10 mg/m^3 .

3.2.3 Analysis Big Exposure (Intake) and Characterization Risk Health

CO exposure intake in the air is calculated in real time. Realtime exposure intake aims to describe the amount of exposure that has been in accept respondents from from beginning become officer parking until time study in do (16). The amount of intake value is directly proportional to the concentration of pollutants, rate intake, duration of exposure, frequency of exposure, and duration of exposure which means more The greater this value, the greater the intake received by the individual. Meanwhile, intake values are inversely proportional to body weight and period values average time, meaning that the greater the body weight, the smaller the meal will be risk health (7).

Calculation of risk levels is one part of the ARKL study Which done on population risky in 3 points location in city Gorontalo. The size level risk obtained from results comparison between mark *intake* with Mark

Dose Reference (RFC) Which in get from calculation using the intake formula with concentration values taken based on standards quality according to PP No. 22 years 2021 that is 10,000 μ g/Nm³ (10 mg/m³).

If you look at the comparison of each research location, there are differences significant mark intake Good on location 1 until with location 3 . If seen mark intake biggest is at on location 1 that is shop jamu solo as big as 1.8501799100 mg/kg/day. His height mark intake in location shop Jamu Solo influenced by the high concentration of CO, namely 22 mg/m 3 , although the concentration CO Still classified low in compare location other will but pattern activity officer parking greatly affects value intake. This is in line with research conducted by E. Wahyuni et al., (2018) Although the concentration of carbon monoxide gas on Jalan Setia Budi is still at below the quality standards that have been set, but exposure that occurs continuously will affect the amount of carbon monoxide gas intake which inhaled into in merchant body (12).

The duration of exposure of parking attendants at the Jamu Solo shop location has value the average is higher than other locations, namely for 15 years accompanied by frequency of exposure in one year for 317 days then for work time officer parking in jamu solo average during 14 hour/day, matter This very affect the high value *intake* in that location (2). Meanwhile, the lowest *intake value* of all locations was at the Extra location Bakeries 0.0746788000 mg/kg/day. Matter This in influence by low concentration CO that is 8 mg/m³. Average age officer parking Which is at inEktra Bakery location 41.25 years old with an average body weight of 59 kg, apart from that For officer exposure time parking is average 12 hours/day and average duration exposure for 3.5 years. When compared to other Extra Bakery locations is location Which own mark average Lowest, so that small it is possible that the parking attendant at the Extra Bakery location is at risk No safe against CO exposure (17).

The results of research conducted by Lestari et al., (2021) traders with Low *intake* results occur in traders with high body weight and short exposure time (8). Results of trader *intake* by body weight 124.35 kg shows the *intake value* received is 0.665 mg/kg/day, shows that the higher the trader's weight, the smaller the value intake received (8). This is in line with research conducted by Falahdina, (2017) stated that the large amount of intake received by people with heavy body excessive produce mark intake which the more small (3).

Intake is directly proportional to the RQ value so that *the intake* is of value high, the RQ will also have high value (6). RQ value for Jamu Solo shop location is 2.2291324200. From the results of this research it can be seen see that the RQ value>1. Meanwhile, the

intake value is low at the Extra Bakery location causes the RQ value ≤ 1 , the RQ value obtained from the research results is 0.0899744600 according to Fitra, 2019 (in Lestari et al., 2021) if RQ>1 so mark exposure carbon monoxide own risk to disturbance health, whereas if the RQ value ≤ 1 then carbon monoxide exposure is consider it safe (8).

CONCLUSION

Based on the results of research conducted on Risk Analysis Ecology and Health Due to Exposure to Carbon Monoxide (CO) and (TSP) on Gorontalo City Roads, the conclusions of the research are as follows: following:

1. Results measurement concentration CO in a number of point segment roads City In Gorontalo, there are 3 locations showing Carbon Monoxide (CO) levels that have exceeded the threshold or ambient air quality standards, with the highest presentation at the third location, namely the shopping center with results of 39,568 µg/Nm 3. Based on these results, it can be done. Please note that CO levels in Gorontalo City are included in the category unsafe because 100% of the location sampling points exceed the Value Threshold Limit (NAB) based on PP No. 22 Year 2021 about the implementation of Environmental Protection and Management for mark (CO) which is 10,000 µg/Nm 3 (10 mg/m 3).

- Calculation results in the characterization of ecological risks due to CO exposure in sections of Gorontalo City roads, which are classified as dangerous for the environment in 3 locations with a medium danger category (HQ 1.1-10). At the same time, Ecological risks resulting from TSP exposure are included in the category of low hazard (HQ 0.1-1.0).
- The results of the calculation *of intake* CO exposure *real-time* big risk health for all locations is good from all CO parameters, including in category risky with RQ> 1.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Thanks profusely to all parties who have helped in the completion of this research.

REFERENCES

- Aprilia, D. N., Nurjazuli, & Joko Q. Analysis Risk Health Environmental Exposure to Carbon Monoxide (Co) Gas in Collection Officers Toll In Semarang. J Heal Public. 2019;5(3).
- Al-Zboon K, Matalqah W, Ammary B. Effect of Cement Industry on Ambient Air Quality and Potential Health Risk: A Case Study from Riyadh, Saudi Arabia Potential Health Risk: A Case Study from Riyadh, Saudi. Jordanian Jour Nal Eng Chem Ind. 2021;4(1).
- Devitria R, Sepryani H, Putri EMD. Analysis Concentration Carbon Monoxide (CO) And Lead (Pb) Concentration and Health Complaints in Workshop Mechanics Motorbikes in

Tanjung Rejo Village, Medan Sunggal Kota District Medan 2017. J Sains dan Teknol Lab Med [Internet]. 28 Juni 2018;1(2):23–9. Tersedia pada: https://jurnal.akjp2.ac.id/index.php/jstl m/article/view/11

- Ibrahim YY. Analysis Risk Health Environment Exposure Particulate Matter 2.5 (PM2.5) in Street Vendors on Roads Gorontalo City. 2021;5.
- Hadinata Q. Performance Comfort Thermal Environment Village Slope Semarang. In Studies Case Village Wonosari. 2019;(1).
- Indirawati S. Risk Management Model for the Impact of Metal Pollution Weight (Pb and Cd) Based on Environmental Health Risk Analysis Study in Public Which Exposed In Region Coastal Belawan. 2019;8.
- Risa O. Analysis Risk Health Environment Exposure Carbon Monoxide (CO) Against Street Vendors on Jalan Samudra Kota Padang 2019. 2019;
- Lestari A, Subhi M, Yuniastuti T. Environmental Health Analysis Consequences of Co Exposure to Traders in Malang City Markets. Media Husada J Environ Heal. 2021;1(1).
- Maksum T, Tarigan S. Analysis of Health Risk Consequences Exposure to Dust Particles (Pm2.5) from Transportation Activities. Jambura

Heal Sport J. 2022;4(1).

- Kesuma N, Sulistiyani, Budiyono. Risk Analysis of Zinc Content (Zn) In Crab Mangrove In River Footprint City Semarang. J Heal Soc. 2019;4(5).
- Khamidah S. Environmental Health Risk Analysis with Risk Agent Total Suspended Particulate (TSP) On Worker Central Industry Fumigation Bandarharjo Fish, Semarang City. 2019;
- Wahyuni, E., D YH, Setiani O. Analysis Risk Health Environment Gas Carbon Monoxide On Trader Foot Five (Studies Case Jalan Setiabudi Semarang). 2019;6(6).
- Vianne MSA. Risk Analysis Health Environmental Cadmium (Cd) Content in Milkfish in the Region Pond Lorok Semarang. Public Heal J. 2019;5(5).
- 14. Ulandari S. Connection Between
 Quality Physique Air With Rate
 Carbon Monoxide (Co) On
 Cafe/Hospotan In City Pontianak Year
 2019. J Students Res Heal. 2019;
- Mitmark B, Jinsart W. Using GIS Tools to Estimate Health Risk from Biomass Burning in Northern Thailand. Athens J Sci. 2020;3(4).
- Mentari S, Firdani F, Rahmah S. Exposure Risk Analysis Carbon Monoxide Gas (C0) At Vendors Along The Front Street Market Bookie Create Padang City. 2021;2(2).

 Ismiyati, Marlita D, Saidah D. Air Pollution Due to Gas Emissions Throw away Vehicle Motorized. J Manag Transp Logist. 2019;1(3).